

3.2 Ist.soc-cult.lit.

LMA-3 **ex-1** **27.01.2009**

conf.dr. Adrian Oțoiu

nick
max
min

		FINAL	45%			TOTAL POINTS
		GRADE	Theoret. AVERAGE	SEMINAR POINTS	FORUM POINTS	
		10,0	40,2			89,4
		4,0				
Baksai	Orsolya	4	4,15	1,0		1,00
Balint	Cristina	6	8,03	17,5	9,5	27,00
Cerneștean	Andrea <small>Andreea_C_LMA3</small>	5	4,55	1,0	2,7	3,70
Cristea	Maria-Mirabela	7	7,13	7,0	14,0	21,00
Czap	Eva	10	17,33	16,0	73,4	89,40
Demian	Camelia	4	4,45	3,0		3,00
Düring	Thorsten	6	5,86	12,5		12,50
Frăcilă	Gabriela	8	7,50	13,5	10,0	23,50
Inceu	Mihaela	9	8,77	7,0	25,0	32,00
Kert	Ingrid	6	5,57	10,5		10,50
Luțaș	Adina-Geanina	7	7,36	6,0	16,5	22,50
Marinescu	Bianca	6	6,16	5,0	9,5	14,50
Meisaroș	Larisa	7	7,27	10,0	11,9	21,90
Morovan	Corina	10	14,90	18,0	55,1	73,10
Rus	Răzvan	6	5,51	10,1		10,10
Tomoiață	Teodora	7	7,21	13,0	8,5	21,50
Tulici	Robert <small>emperor</small>	7	7,37	8,0	14,6	22,60
Vaum	Enikő	4	4,18	1,0	0,2	1,20
Vavrek	Monika	4	4,45	3,0		3,00
Voinescu	Lavinia	7	6,65	4,5	13,3	17,80
???	Vlad	3	3,25	1,0		1,00

NOTE: Grading your forum performance was not easy. I have not given automatically one point per posted intervention. That would have been too easy and would have helped the posters who used to divide their posts into many posts (presumably in order to gain more points?). For each post you

3.2 Ist.soc-cult.lit.

LMA-3 **ex-1** 27.01.2009

conf.dr. Adrian Oțoiu

nick

FINAL	45%
GRADE	Theoret. AVERAGE
	SEMINAR POINTS
	FORUM POINTS

TOTAL POINTS: _____

(presumably in order to gain more points r). For each post you received usually 1 point - for a significant contribution). Posts lacking substance or merely agreeing the with previous posts only got 0,1 pt. Brilliant posts that pushed the discussion forward and made significant contributions could get as much as 2 pt.

NOTE 2: The highest cumulated score was of 89 points -- Eva Czap's score. Yet, you could reach the highest grade with just 45% of this, that is with 40 points.